

CRM-M-31068-2017 &

Mukesh Kansal Vs. State of Punjab

CRM-M-37476-2017

Sushila Devi Kansal Vs. State of Punjab

Present: Mr.Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate for
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-31068-2017)

Mr.Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-37476-2017)

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, A.A.G., Punjab

Mr.Deepam Raglana, Advocate, for
Mr.Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

CRM-M-31068-2017

After arguing at length, the learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

CRM-M-37476-2017

In this petition, though the allegations against the petitioner are somewhat similar to the one as against her husband, i.e. the petitioner in CRM-M-31068-2017, since she (Sushila Devi), is not stated to be either a signatory or a witness to the agreement of sale between her husband and the complainant, Tilak Raj, upon joining investigation, if she is sought to be arrested, shall be released on bail, on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Illaq Magistrate. She shall continue to abide by the provisions of Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

Disposed of.

January 19, 2018

dharamvir/dinesh

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**