

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-320 of 2018
Date of Decision: 22.01.2018

Anil @ Ramlu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjeet Singh, Addl.A.G, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.46 dated 29.01.2017 registered under Sections 302, 201, 120 B, 346 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code Police Station Rajendra Park, Gurugram.

It is contented that the petitioner is in custody since 22.05.2017 and nothing has been recovered from him during investigation

The above factual position is not disputed by learned State counsel. It is further contended that three PW's namely, Jai Parkash (father of the deceased), Rinky (wife of the deceased) and Anita Devi (mother of the the deceased) have not supported the prosecution case.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

In view of the fact the petitioner is in custody since 22.05.2017 and no recovery has been effected from him and none of the material prosecution witnesses has supported the case of the prosecution,

hence, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any more. Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is accepted. Petitioner-Anil @ Ramlu be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

January 22, 2018
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no