

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3104-2017 (O&M)

Decided on: 20.08.2018

Umang Kashyap and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

Pankhuri

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Petitioner No.1 in person, with
Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate.

Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate,
for the complainant.

Mr. P.K. Vadhera, father of the complainant,
in person.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

The dispute has been resolved amicably between the parties inasmuch as it has been settled that the petitioner would pay a sum of Rs.30 lakhs more to the complainant as full and final settlement of her claim for maintenance past, present and future, cost of dowry articles, if any and towards settlement of her claims of all types and it has further been agreed that the spouses would file a petition for divorce by mutual consent in the Court of competent jurisdiction at Ludhiana within one month from today and at the time of recording of statement of petitioner on first motion, a sum of Rs.15 lakhs would be paid by the petitioner to the complainant in the form of demand draft, whereas the remaining amount of Rs.15 lakhs would be paid at the time of recording of statement of the petitioner on second motion.

It has further been agreed that the parties would withdraw the cases filed by them against each other and the complainant would make statement in the Court pleading no objection to the quashing of the FIR lodged against the petitioner. Let it be so done before the petition under Section 13-B is fixed for recording of statement of second motion.

The amount of Rs.5 lakhs deposited by the petitioner in the Court of JMIC, Ludhiana be released to the complainant. Necessary direction be issued to Shri Ravneet Singh, JMIC, Ludhiana in that regard.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of, accordingly.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

20.08.2018
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No