

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-31969 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:12.11.2018

Vinay Sehgal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Amritpal Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the State contends that copy of the petition has not been supplied to her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has supplied copy of the petition to learned State counsel today in Court itself.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 30.7.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Krishan Kumar.

In view of the above, interim order dated 30.7.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 12, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No