

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-31917 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:25.10.2018

Sarjeet

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 6.9.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ranvir Singh.

However, learned counsel for the respondent-State as well as complainant opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground of seriousness of the offence as the same is under Section 307 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of version and cross-version and petitioner side has also received injuries. The

injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also the facts that it is a case of version and cross-version; injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature and in compliance of order dated 6.9.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation, interim order dated 6.9.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

October 25, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No