

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-31897 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 27, 2018

Sanjeev Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Pawan Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.168 dated 28.06.2018, under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case and on the direction of this Court, has joined the investigation. It is also contended that nothing is to be recovered from him.

At this stage, Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and files the power of attorney, which is taken on record and opposes the confirmation of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for the custodial interrogation.

In view of the facts that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation and has joined the investigation, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 27.07.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i) (ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

November 27, 2018
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No