

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

**CRM-M-31890-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 04.10.2018**

Kuldeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Lathwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for regular bail under the provision of Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.14 dated 30.01.2017, under Sections 302, 201, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Barauda, District Sonipat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is in custody since 04.02.2017. It is also submitted that all the 10 witnesses were stated to have been examined in the trial and petitioner is not involved in any other case.

3. Learned State counsel has not disputed the above facts on instructions of ASI Satpal Singh.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is in custody since 04.02.2017, there is no occasion to the petitioner to interfere with the evidence and disposal of the trial will take sufficient time, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of regular

bail to the petitioner.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. Petitioner-Kuldeep is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. However, the petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall also not influence the witnesses or otherwise interfere with the fair trial.

8. Petition stands allowed.

04.10.2018

pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/ No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No