

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.30922 of 2017

Date of decision: 6th February, 2018

Ravinder

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghanghas, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. P.K. Jangra, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Allegations against the petitioner Ravinder in this regular bail application filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.268 dated 25.07.2015 registered at Police Station Beri, District Jhajjar under Sections 392/328/395/420/412/417 IPC and Section 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, are that on 25.07.2015 petitioner along with his co-accused, who all were armed with pistols, waylaid a truck and after intoxicating the driver sped away with the vehicle along with the articles therein, leading to registration of the present case. From possession of the petitioner as per contentions of learned State counsel Rs.10,000/- out of the total amount of Rs.16,400/- snatched from the driver, were recovered from his house.

Contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner are that the petitioner is behind bars since 13.09.2015 and that the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future.

On behalf of the State, strong opposition has been sought to be made by Mr. P.K. Jangra, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana on the grounds of heinousness of the offence for which he has been hauled up and the recovery of part of the looted amount.

Appreciating the submissions, the only recovery effected from the petitioner as stated by learned State counsel is Rs.10,000/- and which could only be connected to the accused, if any, at the time of trial which is not likely to conclude in the near future. Keeping in view the period of incarceration and without adverting on to the merits of the case together with the own stand of the learned counsel that the petitioner is not facing any other criminal case, this Court is of the opinion that further detention of the petitioner in the present case is unwarranted. Accordingly, he is ordered to be released on regular bail to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Jhajjar.

The petition stands disposed off accordingly.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 6, 2018
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No