

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31852-2018

Date of Decision: 08.10.2018

Sandeep Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Bikramjeet Singh Jatana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 of Cr.P.C in case FIR No.48 dated 05.07.2018 registered under Section 61/1/14 of Punjab Excise Act at Police Station Joga, District Mansa.

2. Petitioner was granted the benefit of interim bail vide order dated 27.07.2018 and directed to join investigation.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Gurdeep submitted that petitioner has joined the investigation pursuant to the order dated 27.7.2018. At the same time, it was submitted that petitioner fails to produce registration certificate of the vehicle which was used in the alleged offence under Excise Act.

4. It is undisputed that vehicle stand in the name of petitioner's father-in-law. In that event, petitioner is not in a position to produce the registration certificate of the vehicle. Therefore, respondent-police is permitted to take appropriate action for the recovery of registration certificate of the vehicle.

5. Accordingly, order dated 27.07.2018 granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

6. Petition stands allowed accordingly.

08.10.2018
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No