

CRM-M-31835-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31835-2018

Date of Decision: 03.08.2018

Pritpal Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Nirmaan, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Pritpal Kaur has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.03 dated 22.01.2017, registered at Police Station N.R.I, Ludhiana, under Sections 420, 448, 465, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-31835-2018

From the record, I find that as per the allegations in the FIR which was got registered on the application of Manjit Kaur Rai, her house was illegally possessed by Yogita Bhalla. The allegation against the present petitioner is that Yogita Bhalla took the possession in connivance with Manpreet Singh and his wife (present petitioner).

The offences are triable by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class. The petitioner has been in custody since 02.05.2018. She is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as she is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping her in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

03.08.2018

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No