

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-31782-2018
Date of Decision: 27.07.2018**

Ramesh Kumari & anr.

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: - Mr. SK Arya, Advocate, for
Mr. SK Choudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1. In the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. filed by the petitioners, prayer has been made for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, contends that the purpose of the instant petition would be achieved, if respondent No.2-Senior Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, District Pathankot, is directed to decide representation (Annexure P-3), moved by the petitioners.

3. In view of the above, respondent No.2- Senior Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, District Pathankot, is directed to look into the matter and decide representation (Annexure P-3), moved by the petitioners, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to them, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. The instant petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

27.07.2018

monika

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Monika Verma
2018.07.27 17:52
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No