

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-3173 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.02.2018

Shallu and another		...Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Pardeep Sihmar, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate, for respondents no.4 & 5.
Ms. Aashima Mor, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

As already recorded in the order of this Court dated 05.02.2018, respondents no.4 and 5 had recorded their statements before ASI Shiv Kumar that petitioner no.1 had been engaged to one Jagshir and that her marriage with petitioner no.2 is against the wishes of the said respondents, who are her parents.

It has also been recorded in that order that the age of petitioner no.1 is not disputed, with her date of birth being 04.07.1999, i.e. above adulthood.

Today, learned counsel for respondents no.4 and 5 submits that they have nothing to do with the petitioners and that they would not threaten their lives and liberty.

Consequently, without making any comment on the validity of the marriage, this only being a petition seeking protection of lives and

liberty of the petitioners, it is disposed of with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3, i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal and the SHO, P.S. Sadar Kalayat, District Kaithal, to continue to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are duly protected, as per law.

08.02.2018
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No