

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31690-2018
Date of Decision: 29.11.2018

Abhimanyu

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. J.S.Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Bhanut Bhushan, Advocate, for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN,J

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 73 dated 19.03.2018 under Sections 324/506/34 IPC registered at Police Station PGIMS, Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that on 27.07.2018, the following order was passed:-

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the complainant is the brother-in-law of the petitioner and the sister of the petitioner had already registered an FIR No.215 dated 27.04.2018 under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak. It is further submitted that the Additional Sessions Judge vide order dated 18.07.2018, has granted interim bail to the petitioner subject to joining the investigation, however, due to communication gap, the petitioner could not appear before the Investigating Officer and on the next date of hearing i.e. 23.07.2018, the application was dismissed as counsel for the petitioner was in personal difficulty. It is further submitted that the petitioner is still ready to join the investigation and co-operate throughout.”

CRM-M-31690-2018

-2-

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and subsequent thereto, Section 201 IPC has been added.

Counsel for the complainant submits that even subsequently on the basis of the order passed by Metropolitan Magistrate, Delhi, another FIR of similar nature has been registered against the petitioner.

Heard.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, considering the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation, order dated 27.07.2018 is made absolute.

Disposed of.

29.11.2018
smriti

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No