

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.31547 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.07.2018

Sikander Singh Maluka

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
and Mr. Gagandeep Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that not only the petitioner but his relatives are being harassed by respondents authorities for ulterior motive. The petitioner is also having apprehension as the FIR has been registered against other persons whose names are mentioned in newspaper "**Hindustan Times**" dated 14.07.2018. The name of the petitioner is also there and any false case can be registered against him also. Learned senior counsel also submits that in case, any complaint or case is registered against the petitioner, he may be given an advance notice in view of directions issued by Hon'ble the Apex Court in case **Arnesh Kumar vs State of Bihar and another 2014(3) CCR 144**.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner also submits that as on today, there is no complaint or FIR registered against the petitioner except complaint under Sections 307/336/148/149 IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of

the Arms Act at Police Station Dialpura (Annexure P-2), whereby the trial is stayed.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

However, in case, the petitioner is required with regard to any complaint, an advance notice of seven days be given to him.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

27.07.2018
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No