

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-31536 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:06.12.2018

Gurdeep Singh @ Lallu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. JS Jaidka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 16.8.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation, whereas, learned counsel for the respondent-State has not disputed the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner but contends that two more cases under Excise Act are there against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is on bail in those cases.

In view of the above, interim order dated 16.8.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 06, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No