

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-31502 of 2018

Date of Decision: July 26, 2018

Monty Kakkar and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Navkesh Singh Goraya, Advocate for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for issuance of direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to ensure that their life and liberty is protected.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No. 2 purchased a car from respondent No. 4. Thereafter, respondent No. 4 started disputing the sale and threatening the petitioners. Despite representation (Annexure P-5), no action is being taken by the police.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. J.S. Walia, Sr. DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondent No. 4.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to objectively consider the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, in case it is found necessary within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

July 26, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**