

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Revision 1881 of 2006
Date of Decision : July 24, 2018**

Satish		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and others		 Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S.MANN

Present : Mr. Kulvir Narwal, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

T.P.S.MANN, J. (Oral)

Acquittal of accused Mehar Singh and Balkar Singh, respondents No. 2 and 3 herein, of the charges under Sections 323/342 read with Section 34 IPC has been challenged by Satish by filing the present revision.

After going through the evidence brought on record, the learned trial Court came to the conclusion that respondents No. 2 and 3 were not the aggressors in causing injuries to the petitioner. Rather, these injuries might be self-suffered or caused in a scuffle or by falling on the hard surface.

In view of the above, no case is made out for any interference in the impugned judgment of acquittal passed by the learned trial Court. The revision is without any merit and, therefore, dismissed.

July 24, 2018
amit rana

**(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
---------------------------	--------

Whether reportable	Yes/No
--------------------	--------