

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31451 of 2018.

Decided on:-September 26, 2018.

Ranjit Kaur and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to the respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 10.

Learned State counsel, has filed additional affidavit of Harpreet Singh, PPS, DSP, Ajnala, District Amritsar (Rural) on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in Court today, which is taken on record.

Vide order dated July 26, 2018, this Court while granting protection to the petitioners, directed respondent No.3 SHO Police Station Bhindi Saidan to apprise this Court regarding the date of birth of the petitioners on the next date of hearing.

Resultantly, reply by way of affidavit of Inspector Yadwinder Singh, SHO, Police Station Bhindi Saidan was filed on the last date of hearing i.e. 23.08.2018. It is mentioned in the reply that the verification of the actual date of birth of the petitioners was conducted. As per the verification, both the petitioners have falsely projected their respective date of birth. Petitioner No.1 Ranjit Kaur has studied upto 8th standard and her actual date of birth is 28.07.2004, which is based on the record obtained from Senior Secondary School, Bhindi Saidan (Annexures R-1 and R-2). But she has tempered her date of birth in the petition as 28.07.1998. Similarly, the actual date of birth of petitioner No.2 Sukha Singh was got verified from Government Middle School, Warian, District Amritsar, wherein it has been revealed that his actual date of birth is 03.03.2000 and not 01.01.1988, as projected in the petition.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Lashwinder Singh, states that this is a glaring attempt on the part of the petitioners to mislead this Court while seeking protection in this case.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present petition.

Though the petitioners are permitted to withdraw the present petition, but considering the fact that they have tried to overreach the process of law by making a false representation regarding their age, costs of Rs.20,000/- is imposed upon them which shall be deposited by them with All India Pingalwara Charitable Society (Regd.), Amritsar. The receipt thereof shall be produced by the petitioners before the Registry within a period of 15 days from today.

Dismissed as withdrawn in the aforesaid terms.

For compliance, to come up on 17.10.2018.

September 26, 2018
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No