

CRM-M-31419-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31419-2018

Date of Decision: 01.08.2018

Sandeep Kumar Lochab

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Saransh Sabharwal, Advocate for
Mr. Santpal Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jitender Malik, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Sandeep Kumar Lochab has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.445 dated 19.12.2017, registered at Police Station Sector 56, Gurugram, under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-31419-2018

As per the allegations of prosecution, the present petitioner took ₹10,50,000/- from complainant for tickets and change of Indian currency into Euro. Out of the aforesaid amount, a sum of ₹1,50,000/- was kept in the card of complainant meant for travel. However, the petitioner did not refund the amount of ₹9,00,000/- to the complainant.

The petitioner has been in custody since 19.12.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping him in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

01.08.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No