

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-30480-2017
Date of decision: 08.02.2018**

Amit Bhati

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Vaibha Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 56 dated 31.01.2015, registered under Sections 354-D of the IPC and Sections 66-A, 67 and 67-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000, at Police Station Faridabad Old, District Faridabad and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 29.07.2017 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

The marriage of the sister of respondent No. 2 was solemnized on 02.03.2014 with the petitioner herein as per Hindu rites and rituals. However, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, matrimonial dispute arose and both of them got registered FIRs against each other and their respective family members. The sister of respondent No. 2/complainant got registered FIR bearing No. 233 dated 25.05.2014, under Sections 498-A, 506, 323, 342, 307, 406 and 34 of the IPC and the

petitioner herein got registered FIR No. 691 dated 26.11.2014, registered under Sections 323, 427, 452, 455, 506 and 34 of the IPC. However, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matrimonial dispute was amicably settled between the parties and they entered into a compromise. In fact, the parties decided to part their ways peacefully and now they have obtained a decree of divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act. Consequently, vide order of the even date passed by this Court in ***CRM-M-30778-2017 & CRM-M-31773-2017***, both the above said FIRs have been quashed qua the parties involved therein.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from JMIC at Faridabad, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After

considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 56 dated 31.01.2015, registered under Sections 354-D of the IPC and Sections 66-A, 67 and 67-A of the Information Technology Act, 2000, at Police Station Faridabad Old, District Faridabad and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioner herein.

The petition stands disposed of.

08.02.2018

Waseem Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No