

CRM-M-31397-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31397-2018

Date of Decision: 13.08.2018

Ajam Chauhan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Munish Jolly, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.33 dated 03.02.2018, registered at Police Station, Madhuban, Karnal, under Sections 186, 332 and 307 IPC and Sections 389 and 120-B IPC added later on.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

CRM-M-31397-2018

From the record, I find that as per allegation in the FIR, when the officials of transport department etc. were on official duty, they tried to stop a Ritz car, stated to be driven by the co-accused, who tried to run it over the officials. As per the prosecution, nobody was injured in this case. The allegation against the present petitioner is that he used to collect money from the drivers of overloaded vehicles by saying that otherwise they will be challaned at *Naka*.

The petitioner has been in custody since 22.02.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping him in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

13.08.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No