

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31365-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-25.7.2018

Diksha and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Rahul Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

Today, at the very outset, the learned counsel for the petitioners has pointed out that an inadvertent error has kept in the memo of parties, wherein respondent No.1 has been incorrectly mentioned as 'State of Punjab' instead of 'State of Haryana'. It has been submitted that on account of urgency of the matter, he may be permitted to carry out the said correction.

In view of the aforesaid request, the Reader of this Court is directed to carry out the aforesaid correction in the memo of parties and respondent No.1 be correctly mentioned as 'State of Haryana' instead of 'State of Punjab.'

Petitioners Diksha and Ayush have averred that they have married against wishes of respondents No.4 and 5, who are parents of petitioner No.1. Petitioner No.1 has annexed her affidavit to the effect that she is aged 19 years and petitioner No.2 has annexed copy of his Aadhar Card indicating his age 20 years.

The petitioners apprehend threat to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 and thus seek issuance of appropriate directions.

The parents of petitioner No.1 are also present in the Court. Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate has put in appearance on their behalf and has filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

The learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5 has requested that the parents of petitioner No.1-Nitu may be permitted to meet her for a short while.

In view of the aforesaid request, the parents of petitioner No.1-Nitu were permitted to meet her outside the Court room and after a short while, the parents of petitioner No.1 came inside the Court room and have stated that she has not changed her mind.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has informed that petitioner No.1-Nitu wishes to reside with petitioner No.2-Ayush as per her earlier decision.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar, District Yamunanagar to look into the representation dated 22.7.2018 (Annexure P-4) made by petitioners, in accordance with law, immediately upon receipt of certified copy of this order. In case any serious apprehension of threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners is found, requisite steps be taken immediately, in accordance with law, to protect life and liberty of the petitioners.

25.7.2018

pankaj

Whether speaking /reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

Yes / No

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge