

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.30429 of 2017
Date of Decision: 03.04.2018

Fateh Mohd.

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, D.A.G., Haryana,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Ld. Counsel appearing for the State submits that even though the State has been made respondent No.1 in the case, actually the proceedings pending in the Ld. Court below are in relation to a private complaint, on account of which the State has no interest nor any objection to the bail application.

2. Heard Ld. Counsel for respondent No.2/complainant. It is ascertained that he is not related to any of the deceased persons, whose pension amount is alleged to have been misappropriated by the petitioner-accused.

3. In the given circumstances, the interim bail granted to the petitioner earlier is confirmed.

4. Disposed off.

03.04.2018

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No