

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31360-2018

Date of decision: 19.11.2018

Jagroop Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. L.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.170 dated 09.07.2016 under Sections 379, 411, 482 IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.8 Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

While granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, following order was passed on 13.08.2018: -

“.....Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is undergoing a course of Merchant Navy in Mumbai and has placed on record certain certificates in this regard. It is further submitted that as per the FIR, the petitioner was not named and after the co-accused was arrested and a car was recovered, on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused, name of the

petitioner was surfaced in the present case. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rakesh Kumar, has opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the trial Court has initiated the proceedings for declaring the petitioner a proclaimed offender, however, the Investigating Officer could not deny the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.....”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Sukhwinder Pal Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 13.08.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

19.11.2018
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No