

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-31359-2018 (O&M)**

Date of Decision:- 3.8.2018

Gurmeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Talwinder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabbharwal, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 81, dated 13.6.2018, under Sections 307, 325, 427, 34 IPC, Police Station Sadar Kurali, District S.A.S. Nagar.

The FIR was lodged at the instance of Kulwinder Singh wherein it has been alleged that on the day of occurrence when he along with his servant Tajinder, after closing his hotel (Ahata) was proceeding to his house on his motorcycle, then their motorcycle was hit by a white coloured i20 car bearing registration No.CH-12-T-8072 and resultantly they fell by the side of the road. It is alleged that thereafter Gulshan @ Chotu armed with sword (kirpan), Gurwinder Singh @ Lambar armed with rod, Karan armed with baseball bat and Gurmit Singh @ Meeta armed with sword caused injuries to

the complainant. The petitioner who is alleged to be armed with sword is stated to have caused injury on the palm of right hand of the complainant.

Notice of this petition was issued to the State. Learned State counsel has opposed the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has falsely been implicated in the present case and that his parentage is not mentioned in the FIR. It has further been submitted that there is a delay of 2 days in lodging the FIR necessarily indicating that the FIR is a result of deliberations. It has further been submitted that in fact the complainant was never examined in any Government Hospital and that the medical certificate has been procured from some private hospital.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel as well as having perused the police file wherein the medical reports regarding the medical examination of the complainant have been annexed, I find that large number of injuries have been caused to the complainant including fractures on his arms. Bearing the aforesaid facts and circumstances in mind, I do not find any special case for grant of anticipatory bail.

There is no merit in this petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

**August 3, 2018**

mohan

**(Gurvinder Singh Gill)**  
**Judge**

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No