

Sr.No.203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- 3124 OF 2018(O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.02.2018

Dalip Singh and anotherPetitioners

versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present Mr.G.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J(ORAL)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 56 dated 24.06. 2017 registered under Section 381 IPC at Police Station Rori, District Sirsa.

As per allegations contained in the FIR, the petitioners were employed with the liquor vend of the complainant and when the complainant came to check the said vend at about 03:00/3:15 am on 24.06.2017, he found the door open and both the employees i.e the petitioners missing. On entering the vend, liquor boxes were found scattered all over and after tallying with the stock, it was found that 102 boxes of country made liquor, seven boxes of english wine and 11 boxes of beer were missing.

On the day when notice of motion was issued by this Court, learned counsel for the petitioners had contended that there is nothing to show that the petitioners were employed in the said liquor vend and that infact the petitioners were not the employees.

Today, learned state counsel on instructions from ASI Om Parkash submits that as per the attendance register, the petitioners were

CRM-M- 3124 OF 2018(O&M)

-2-

regular employees in the liquor vend.

In view of the above, the petitioners are not entitled to the discretionary relief of anticipatory bail.

Petition is accordingly dismissed.

27.02.2018
mamta

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No