

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247

**CRM-M-30278-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 21.05.2018**

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.5.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioner has prayed for the following relief:-

“Petition under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code for issuance of appropriate and necessary directions to respondents No. 2 & 3 to protect/safeguard the life of the petitioner and further a direction to not to let petitioner be harassed by respondent no.4 at the instance of respondent No.5 to 11. AND Further to issue necessary and appropriate directions to respondents no.2 and 3 to take action against the respondent no. 5 to 11, in accordance with law and take action on the representation dated 11.08.2017 (Annexure P-4).”

2. Learned State counsel submitted that reply has been filed on behalf of respondents no.1 to 4. It was submitted that petitioner's wife has

presented petition under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act. Except that nothing is pending against the petitioner. The competent authority has examined the issue of security of the petitioner as raised in this petition.

3. In view of this factual aspect that wife of the petitioner and relatives of his wife are not harassing the petitioner as presumed by the petitioner and later development stated above, present petition do not survive for consideration. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of. However, dismissal of this petition would not come in the way of the petitioner to approach once again to the competent authority if there is any threat to his life from the contesting respondents.

21.05.2018

pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No