

207(2 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31132-2018

Date of Decision:31.07.2018

Manjeet

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CRM-M-31135-2018

Salim

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Rajesh Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S.Bedi, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM-M-31132-2018

After arguing for some time learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press this petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

CRM-M-31135-2018

As regards the petitioner in CRM-M-31135-2018, i.e. Salim, learned counsel for the petitioner points to the fact that he was arrested pursuant to a disclosure statement allegedly made by him to the effect that he was also involved in the crime of kidnapping a 5 year old boy who was unfortunately also murdered.

He points to the order of this Court dated 12.02.2018, passed in CRM-M-4617-2018 (a copy of which is annexed as Annexure P-5 in CRM-M-31135-2018), wherein also the petitioner therein, i.e. one Sanjay, had been admitted to bail by this Court as he was also arraigned as an accused only on the basis of a disclosure statement.

On specific query to learned State counsel, he on instructions from ASI Rishi Pal, does not deny that this petitioner has been arrested on the basis of a disclosure statement, though he opposes grant of bail to him on the ground that it is a case of kidnapping and murder of a child.

Having considered the above, without making any comment whatsoever on the actual involvement or otherwise of the petitioner in the crime in question, which would be naturally gone into by the trial Court on the basis of evidence led before it, however, considering the fact that it was on the basis only of his alleged disclosure statement made before the police that the petitioner has been arrested and he has now remained in custody for the past 1 year and 3 months, with only 8 out of 27 prosecution witnesses examined so far, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

That Court would ensure that the bail and surety bonds are of an adequate amount to try and ensure that the petitioner does not abscond from facing the trial.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

July 31, 2018

dharamvir

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO