

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31033 of 2018.

Decided on:-July 25, 2018.

Gurdev Singh and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Ms. Ruchi Sekhri, Advocate and
Mr. B.S. Sra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 and 3 to protect the life and property of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.6 to 9 and their accomplices and to take legal action against respondent No.5 and his fellow officials for misusing their position and authorities as police officials under political influence of respondents No.7 and 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that for the protection of life and property of the petitioners, they have already made a representation dated 16.07.2018 (Annexure P-5) to various authorities including respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur. She further states that at this stage, the petitioners confine their prayer qua protection of their life and liberty only and petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to

respondent No.3 to consider the said representation viz. a viz. their prayer for protection of the life and liberty only.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 and 3 only.

On asking of this Court, Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Heard.

Record reveals that the parties are already in civil litigation and, therefore, this fact cannot be ignored lightly. Be that as it may, but without endorsing the contents as submitted in representation (Annexure P-5), the respondent No.3 is directed to look into the contents of said representation only to the extent of protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and to act in accordance with law.

In case it is found that the allegations of threat to life and liberty are found to be false, the respondent No.3 shall be competent to take further legal course.

Disposed of.

July 25, 2018
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No