

**256-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.30917 of 2018
DATE OF DECISION:12.10.2018

Sudhir & another

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D. K. Mittal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arun Luthra, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

A joint petition has been filed by petitioners, namely, Sudhir and Manisha who is wife of respondent No.4 under Section 482 Cr. P. C for seeking protection for their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 9.

A petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus was filed and same has been dismissed vide order dated 12.10.2018. It is not disputed that petitioner No.2 and respondent No.4 are wife and husband. Neither any complaint has been filed in this regard nor arguments have been raised as to how the joint petition is maintainable and what is locus standi to file the present petition.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

CRM-M No.30917 of 2018

:2:

because of filing of Habeas Corpus petition, petitioner No.1 is also apprehending threat at the instance of respondent No.4.

In case petitioners are aggrieved in any manner at the instance of respondent No.4, they are at liberty to make fresh application to the concerned Police authority mentioning therein as to how they are being threatened. In case such representation is made, the police authority concerned is directed to take action in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

12.10.2018
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No