

206+101.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30910-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:21.08.2018.

RAVI PAL

... Petitioner

Versus

UT OF CHANDIGARH

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. P.P.S. Duggall, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Lalit K. Gupta, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.120 dated 30.04.2018 registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307 IPC (Section 302 IPC added lateron) and Sections 25/27 of Indian Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Mauli Jagran, U.T., Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner is not named in the FIR and it is only on the basis of statement of co-accused, he is being involved.

On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-UT, Chandigarh, states that five persons have made disclosure statements that pistol used in the crime was given to the petitioner which needs to be recovered from him.

Considering the fact that recovery is to be effected from the petitioner, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

21.08.2018

sanjeev