

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30837 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.08.2018

Santosh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Prafful Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought interim bail for six weeks in FIR No.366 dated 08.07.2016, registered under Section 365, 302, 201, 328, 379-B read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Sadar Rohtak, District Rohtak.

The interim bail has been sought on the ground that the petitioner being head of the family has to make arrangement for revival of the civil suit which is stated to be dismissed for non prosecution; for correction of address in aadhar card and for submission of list of property of judgment debtor in an execution petition in a motor accident claim petition.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Reply filed on behalf of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rohtak today in Court is taken on record. In the reply, it has been specifically stated that as and when the petitioner is required for any engagement, she can make an application and the necessary relief as per law will be allowed to her and she will be taken to the authorities under production warrants in case her personal appearance is required for execution of the work.

Keeping in view the above, this Court is not inclined to grant the interim bail as prayed for.

Dismissed.

21.08.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No