

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29876 of 2017

Date of Decision: 24th January, 2018

Manoj and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.K. Jangra, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioners in this second regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. are that on 5.12.2016 a theft was committed at the shop of complainant Rajesh leading to theft of certain mobile phones in which the petitioners were arrested on 02.01.2017 and from each of the petitioners 10 mobiles were recovered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioners are behind the bars for more than one year and that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future.

Though, on behalf of the State much opposition has sought to be raised to this prayer of the petitioners side contending that 20 mobile phones have been recovered at the behest of the petitioners and, thus, the heinousness of offence disentitles them to any relief.

Appreciating the submissions and keeping in view the

period of incarceration and that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners behind the bars, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for grant of regular bail is allowed. Petitioners be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 24, 2018

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No