

**237 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.30707 of 2018
DATE OF DECISION:30.10.2018

Amarjit Singh @ Pala

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Kartar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Senior DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr. P.C., the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.78, dated 25.08.2016, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case whereas he was not involved. Petitioner has been implicated being the guarantor of co-accused Malkit Singh who has been released on regular bail vide order dated 14.05.2018 in CRM-M No.12134 of 2018 by this Court. Petitioner is in custody since 17.05.2018.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the custody period but opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that petitioner is involved in two more cases whereas learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been released on bail in both the cases.

Heard arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel. I have also perused the contents of the FIR and other documents on the file.

Admittedly, as per allegations in the FIR, the petitioner stood guarantor for co-accused Malkit Singh who has already been released on regular bail by this Court on 14.05.2018.

Accordingly, on the basis of parity with main co-accused Malkit Singh, the present petitioner is also entitled for regular bail. The present petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

30.10.2018
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No