

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-27377-2018 in/and
Crl. Misc.M- 30704-2018 (O&M)**

Date of Decision: August 08, 2018

Karanveer Singh @ Rinku

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-27377-2018

Allowed, as prayed for.

Main case

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.46 dated 25.04.2018, under Sections 363, 366 of Indian Penal Code and Section 376 (2) of IPC and Section 6 of POCSO Act, added later on , registered at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the statement

of the prosecutrix has been recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. before JMIC, wherein the prosecutrix had admitted of leaving her home voluntarily in the company of the petitioner herein on account of the fact that she wanted to continue with her studies, which was being opposed by her father and brother.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 25.04.2018. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that challan has been presented and that the conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature, however, she does not dispute the fact that challan has been presented and out of 13 witnesses 02 have been examined.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 25.04.2018 and that challan has been presented and out of 13 witnesses 02 have been examined, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety

bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

August 08, 2018

seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No