

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29766-2017

Date of decision: 13.03.2018

Raj Kumar

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.

The instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of a direction to official respondent Nos. 3 & 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of accused mentioned in FIR No. 281 dated 10.04.2017, under Sections 323, 354(B), 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Chandnibagh, District Panipat. In this regard the petitioner has also approached the authorities by filing representations dated 29.05.2017 and 23.06.2017 (Annexures P-2 & P-3) but till date no action has been taken by respondent No.2 on the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if respondent No.2 be directed to look into the representation dated 23.06.2017 (Annexure P-3) and take action in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. P.P. Chahar, learned DAG,

Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Three copies of the petition be handed over to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of the day failing which no action is called for. He submits that representation dated 23.06.2017 (Annexure P-3) will be decided within a period of four weeks.

Heard.

Without going into the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide representation dated 23.06.2017 (Annexure P-3), within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and take necessary action in accordance with law.

13.03.2018

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes.
No.