

CRM-M-30646-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30646-2018

Date of Decision:23.08.2018

Sourab Mehta

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.69 dated 02.06.2018, registered at Police Station Division No.2, Pathankot, District Pathankot, under Sections 420, 465, 468 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 8 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-30646-2018

From the record, I find that a complaint was filed by complainant-Amar Husain Baje against the present petitioner with the allegation that he had represented himself as a CBI Officer and taken money from the complainant on the guise of getting bail for his brothers. The petitioner had also taken money in the names of Judge, surety and police officials.

At the time of arguments, learned State counsel has also brought into notice of this Court that the present petitioner had also given forged bail order to the complainant.

Keeping in view the above facts, I find that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation. No ground is made out to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to him.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

23.08.2018
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No