

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M- 30614 of 2018

Date of decision : 27.07.2018

Umed

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 271 dated 26.03.2018, registered under Sections 363, 366-A, 506, 120-B IPC and Section 4 of the POCSO Act, Police Station Model Town, District Panipat.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the girl was over 17 years and a missing report was lodged by the parents on 26.03.2018 and they had named Rohit who had enticed her daughter and the girl was recovered from Delhi. Counsel contends that in the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., there are no allegations of rape as against the petitioner and the allegations are that he was also present with one more person when the girl was taken away.

The trial would take time. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

27.07.2018
Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No