

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-30535 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: October 17, 2018

Amrik Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Varun Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Amandeep Singh Meho, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of FIR No.75 dated 10.09.2011 (Annexure P-1), registered for offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 471 read with Section 120-B of Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') at Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

As per allegations in the FIR, complainant had issued a cheque of ₹30,000/-, which was given to Amrik Singh son of Nazir Singh (petitioner). However, a sum of ₹1,30,000/- was withdrawn by the petitioner from his account by tampering the cheque.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter has since been settled vide compromise, copy of which has been placed on file

as Annexure P-2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant endorses the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners and has no objection if the impugned FIR (Annexure P-1) is quashed.

Learned State counsel has also not disputed the compromise (Annexure P-2).

In order to verify the veracity and genuineness of the settlement between the parties, they were directed to appear before the trial court and get their statements recorded. The trial court has sent its report dated 23.08.2018 stating therein that the compromise has been effected between the complainant and the accused which appears to be voluntary in nature and without any pressure or influence.

Keeping all the above facts in view, I am of the considered opinion that it is a fit case in which the impugned FIR should be quashed. Keeping the case pending will not serve the ends of justice. The quashing of the FIR will provide the parties to this petition an opportunity to live in an amicable, peaceful and harmonious atmosphere which is not only in the interest of the parties but also for their families and ultimately the society at large.

For the reasons as discussed above, the instant petition is allowed and FIR No.75 dated 10.09.2011, registered at Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, qua petitioners, is quashed.

October 17, 2018
Jyoti-II

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE