IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30406-2018

Date of Decision:12.09.2018

Ashok Kumar ... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Ashish Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, D.A.G. Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 of Cr.P.C in case FIR No.116 dated 10.06.2018 registered under Sections 8, 9 of Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners Act and Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that co-accused like Kulbir Singh and Jasmer Singh have been extended the benefit of anticipatory bail on 20.07.2018 and 12.7.2018 respectively. Role of the petitioner and co-accused Jasmer Singh is same to the extent that they stood surety for Sanjeev Kumar falsely by getting Rs.3000/- each for the same. Therefore, in view of the order dated 12.07.2018 passed in CRM-M-28061-2018 titled as ***Jasmer Singh versus State of Haryana***, petitioner is entitled to anticipatory bail.

3. In view of these facts and circumstances, petitioner is directed to join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bond to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. He shall appear before investigating Officer/Arresting Officer as and when called by him. He will remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

4. Petition stands allowed accordingly.

12.09.2018 **(P.B. Bajanthri)**
rajeev **Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No

RAJEEV THAKRAL
2018.09.13 15:56
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document