

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29453-2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:-16.11.2018**

SATPAL SAINI

...PETITIONER...

V.

JAI BHAGWAN

...RESPONDENT...

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. M.K. Garg, Advocate,
for the respondent.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that on the previous date i.e. 24.09.2018, his presence has wrongly been marked on behalf of the applicant-petitioner, though, he is appearing on behalf of the respondent.

It appears that due to typographical error presence of Mr. M.K. Garg, Advocate has wrongly been marked on behalf of the applicant-petitioner. The same is ordered to be corrected in order dated 24.09.2018.

Since, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 24.09.2018, therefore, presence of the petitioner shall be treated as NIL. Presence of Mr. M.K. Garg, Advocate shall be treated on behalf of the respondent.

Today also, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the petitioner has not complied with order dated 10.08.2017, despite, availing sufficient opportunities and elapsing of more than one year. Therefore, the instant petition may kindly be dismissed.

Heard.

The instant petition is dismissed for want of prosecution and also for non-compliance of order dated 10.08.2017..

16.11.2018

sonika

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

whether reportable:

Yes/No