

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29424-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.09.2018

Gurdeep Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to get the case re-investigated in FIR No.107 dated 29.12.2008 registered under Section 306 of the IPC at Police Station Behram, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar.

The present case was filed in this Court in August, 2017. On the first date of hearing i.e. on 16.08.2017 this Court asked the learned counsel for the petitioner to address arguments on the question of maintainability of a petition by an accused, who is seeking reinvestigation of the matter after his acquittal in the said case and the learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for time to address the arguments and on which date

CRM-M-29424-2017 (O&M)

the matter was adjourned to 26.09.2017. On 26.09.2017 on request of learned counsel for the petitioner, the matter was again adjourned to 28.09.2017, on which date again the matter was adjourned to 03.10.2017 on request of learned counsel for the petitioner.

On 03.10.2017, in the interest of justice the matter was adjourned to 09.11.2017 as nobody appeared on behalf of the petitioner, even after calling twice. On 09.11.2017 the learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to place on record some notification issued by the State of Punjab whereby a Commission of Inquiry was constituted to look into the false cases registered against workers of one or the other political parties due to political vendetta and the matter was adjourned to 18.01.2018. On 18.01.2018 the matter was called twice but none appeared and the case was adjourned to 19.04.2018 giving last opportunity to the petitioner to address arguments in the case. On 19.04.2018, the matter was adjourned to 10.07.2018, on request of learned counsel for the petitioner. On 10.07.2018, the matter was adjourned to 01.08.2018 on request of learned counsel for the petitioner, giving last opportunity to address arguments, on which date again adjournment was sought on behalf of the petitioner and the matter was adjourned to 17.09.2018.

Today again no one present on behalf of the petitioner even after calling the matter twice.

A perusal of the file shows that the present case is pending since August, 2017 for addressing arguments on maintainability and no arguments have been addressed even after giving last opportunity.

Therefore, it seems that the petitioner is not interested to pursue /contest the

CRM-M-29424-2017 (O&M)

case.

Therefore, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

September 17, 2018
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No