

**SIN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30326-2018
Date of decision: 03.12.2018

Dharamveer Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. P. S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for
Mr. Jasraj Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M. S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 55 dated 22.05.2018, under Sections 420, 199, 200 and 120-B of the IPC, registered at Police Station City Rampura, District Bathinda.

The operative part of the order dated 20.07.2018, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a Sales Manager of M/s Goyal Automobiles, a registered dealer of Hyundai and has sold a Hyundai i20 car and the main accused namely Ranveer Singh, purchased the aforesaid car, after obtaining a loan from Bank of Maharashtra on 11.05.2017. Later, on receiving a letter from the Bank on 19.06.2017 that in the sale letter, there is no reference to the hypothecation agreement, a supplementary Form No.20 was issued on 20.06.2017 and on 22.06.2017, a duplicate registration certificate was issued by the D.T.O. office. It is further submitted that the primary dispute of the complainant is with regard to purchase of the aforesaid car from Ranveer Singh, who has not disclosed the fact about hypothecation with the Bank and the said mistake was an inadvertent mistake and the same was rectified

immediately as and when, it was brought to the notice of the dealer. It is also submitted that the coaccused of the petitioner namely David Kumar, has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail vide order dated 02.07.2018 passed in CRM-M No.27034 of 2018. Notice of motion for 24.09.2018.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 20.07.2018, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Parkash Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 20.07.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

December 03, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No