

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-29311-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.08.2018

Kulwinder Singh & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.72 dated 30.04.2017 registered under Sections 304, 34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Samana District Patiala (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/3).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-respondent No.2 on the allegations that the accused-petitioners left the complainant-respondent No.2 at her parental house after about 4 months of marriage and then did not take back and demanding transfer of land in the name of petitioner No.1. On 29.4.2017 both parties met for panchayat, where accused-petitioners used derogatory remarks upon complainant-respondent No.2, which she narrated to her mother, which was not tolerated

by her due to which she became unconscious and taken to hospital where doctors declared her brought dead. Therefore, the present FIR was lodged against the accused-petitioners. Now, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report dated 01.09.2017 has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class at Samana, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal

prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.72 dated 30.04.2017 registered under Sections 304/34 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Samana, District Patiala and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed.

The petition stands disposed of.

August 13, 2018

seema

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No