

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30076-2018(O&M)

Date of decision:-5.10.2018

Anndeeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

This petition for regular bail has been filed by petitioner – Anndeeep – an accused in FIR No.60 dated 6.4.2018, under Sections 346 IPC and Sections 451, 506 IPC and Sections 10 and 18 of POCSO Act(added later on), registered at Police Station Ateli.

Briefly stated, facts of the case as per prosecution story are that on 2.4.2018 at night the prosecutrix (name withheld to protect her identity in view of Section 228-A IPC and as per the directions given by

the Hon'ble Apex Court in case titled State of Karnataka Vs. Puttaraja, 2004(1) RCR(Cri.) Supreme Court, 113 (SC) and referred to as *the prosecutrix*) aged 19 years wife of complainant Tejpal Singh son of Munshi Singh, resident of village Gondha went missing from her house. On a written complaint submitted by the complainant to the police on 6.4.2018, formal FIR was recorded. The investigation in the case started. It came out that on 2.4.2018, accused Anndeeep Singh along with his four friends forcibly took away the prosecutrix, a married woman in a vehicle, made her smell some substance, resultantly, she became unconscious. Thereafter, they took her to various places. The prosecutrix was recovered. Accused was arrested in this case on 13.4.2018. After completion of investigation and other formalities, challan was prepared and filed in the Court and the accused is facing trial. He had filed an application for regular bail in the Court of Sessions, which was declined by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul vide order dated 6.6.2018, as such, he has approached this Court with the same request.

Notice of the petition was given to respondent – State and counsel representing the State has put in appearance.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record.

The allegations against the petitioner are very serious and grave of kidnapping a married woman, then keeping her with him for several days. The statement of the prosecutrix has been recorded wherein she supported the prosecution case on material aspects. Along with the gravity and seriousness of allegations against the petitioner, there is

apprehension of his trying to tamper with the prosecution evidence and even to abscond, if granted bail. The trial against the petitioner is likely to be concluded in near future.

Therefore, finding no merit in the petition, the same stands dismissed.

5.10.2018
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No