

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29135 of 2017
Date of decision:20.3.2018**

Yogesh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (ORAL)

Present petition is filed praying for quashing FIR No. 227
dated 14.11.2016 under Sections 452, 506, 427, 148 and 149 of the Indian
Penal Code registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar on the
basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

2. Heard learned counsel for both the parties and perused the paper book.

3. At the very outset, it is stated by learned counsel for the petitioners that the case is at the initial stage and even the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has not been submitted in this case. Both the parties were directed by this Court vide order dated 26.02.2018 to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/ Trial Court and get their statements recorded and in pursuance thereof, learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Nakodar recorded the statements of both the parties and submitted a report dated 07.03.2018 and operative part of the same reads as under:

' On the basis of statements made by the parties before me, the compromise on the face of it appears to be genuine one having been arrived at by the parties with free will without any pressure or coercion. There is nothing on the record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties.'

A perusal of the report reveals that the compromise entered into between the parties is voluntary and without any, coercion or undue influence. Even before this Court also, the parties are not disputing the factum of compromise arrived at between them.

4. No objection has been raised by the learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.2 on a specific query put to them by the Court with regard to quashing of the FIR as well as all other consequential proceedings on the basis of the compromise effected between the parties in this case.

5. In view of the above, this Court is fully convinced that the offences are entirely personal in nature and did not affect any public peace or tranquillity and thus quashing of FIR in question along with all consequential proceedings on the basis of compromise would bring peace and harmony to secure the ends of justice. Consequently, the aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings resulting therefrom are quashed qua petitioner(s).

6. Petition is allowed.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

20.03.2018
rashmi

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. | Whether reportable? | Yes / No |
| 2. | Whether reasoned / speaking? | Yes / No |