

CRM-M-29996-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29996-2018

Date of Decision: 26.09.2018

Amit Chaudhary

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Kamal Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.265 dated 14.05.2018, registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned

State counsel and have gone through the record.

CRM-M-29996-2018

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered on the application of Ajay Chaudhary, brother of the present petitioner. As per the allegations in the FIR, three acres of land was got transferred fraudulently by the present petitioner in the name of his wife by misusing the power of attorney. There is also allegation against the present petitioner regarding withdrawal of about ₹10 lakhs of compensation amount on account of acquisition of land of complainant by forging his signatures. The petitioner alongwith co-accused had also got prepared the forged PAN card of the complainant.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the facts that the present petitioner is the main accused and serious allegations in respect of forging documents etc. have been levelled against him, I find that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

26.09.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No