

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29976-2018
Date of decision: 18.07.2018**

Navneet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate for
Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate
for respondent No. 5.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana, accepted notice on behalf of the official respondents whereas Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate, present in Court, accepted notice on behalf of respondent No. 5 who is the mother of petitioner No. 1.

The matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for recording the statement of the parties and arranging a meeting of petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 5. The Mediator has recorded the statement of the petitioners wherein both the petitioners have stated that they have performed marriage on 16.07.2018 and they want to

stay together.

The Mediator has also reported that though efforts were made for bringing amicable settlement between the parties, however, there was reluctance on the part of respondent No. 5.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 5 submitted that petitioner No. 1 has borrowed a scooter of some known person and the same should be returned.

In reply, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the said scooter will be returned by tomorrow to the person concerned.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, this petition is disposed of by issuing a direction to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra to look into the grievances of the petitioners as per representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners.

It is also directed that in case any application is moved by respondent No. 5 for meeting petitioner No. 1, respondent No. 2 shall arrange a meeting in his office premises for the same purpose.

However, this order shall have no bearing on the validity of the marriage performed by the petitioners.

18.07.2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No