

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28964-2017

Date of Decision:08.02.2018

Balkar Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Beant Kaur

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. H.S.Bath, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

For the reasons already given in the order dated 09.08.2017, admitting the petitioners to interim bail, learned counsel for the respondent-complainant has opposed the bail application on the ground that the petitioners having been arraigned as accused in a murder case, do not deserve the concession of bail. He further submits that in any case petitioner no.2 having been attributed a fatal blow to the deceased, he should not be admitted to bail.

A perusal of DDR No.19 dated 27.11.2012 (copy Annexure P-1), shows that the complainant had initially stated that her husband had died (at night), due to an injury on the left side of his head, but did not know how it happened.

Therefore, whether it was petitioner no.2 or anybody else who inflicted the injury, also whether any other role is attributable to the

petitioners (if any), would be a matter to be gone into by the trial Court, on the basis of evidence led.

Consequently, without making any comment whatsoever on the merits of the case for or against the petitioners, which would be gone into by the trial Court as per the evidence led before it, the order dated 09.08.2017, is made absolute.

Disposed of.

February 08, 2018

dharamvir/dinesh

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	: YES/NO
Whether Reportable	: YES/NO