

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29818-2018
Date of decision:10.10.2018**

Harinandan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for respondent No.1.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.14 dated 27.01.2016 under Sections 323, 324, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, Police Station City Batala, District Gurdaspur and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.

Vide order dated 18.07.2018 the parties had been directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate so as to get the statements recorded with regard to the genuineness of the compromise.

Report of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala has been received to the effect that the statements of the petitioner/accused and also of the complainant-Jaismine Dhaliwal have been recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter with the intervention of respectable persons and their relatives. The complainant-Jaismine Dhaliwal has specifically stated that she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed. The learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala has opined that the parties have effected compromise voluntarily without there being any pressure or coercion.

In view of the aforesaid compromise and bearing in mind the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, the petition is allowed and FIR No.14 dated 27.01.2016 under Sections 323, 324, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, Police Station City Batala, District Gurdaspur and all the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

10.10.2018
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No